

United States Parent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/902,834	07/12/2001	Victor Chu	4123-004	9863	
24112 75	90 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			WORRELL JR, LARRY D		
P O BOX 5			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DADED NUMBER	
RALEIGH, NO	27602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3765	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ι			
•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
P	Office Assists Community	09/902,8	34	CHU, VICTOR				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Danny W		3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address	; 			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. sol) days, a reply within the state tatutory period will apply and we will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicing (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	•							
Disposit	ion of Claims			•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) l g the correction is require	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	. ,			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or tr No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The negative recitation "does not include a display as an inherent feature of the product" is inaccurate and indefinite since in the body of the claim applicant sets forth the step of "attaching an electronic display to the product" and thus such display is in fact part of the product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 2 and 5-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gomersall et al. (4500880).

Art Unit: 3765

The disclosure of Gomersall et al. (4500880) teaches the invention as claimed including a label (14) for a product comprising: a) a base (14H) adapted to be fixed to said product, b) an LCD (32) electronic display panel affixed to said base and not associated with the inherent functioning of the product; and c) a programmable circuit (note figure 12) operatively connected to said display panel, said programmable circuit being programmed to output label information to said display panel for display thereon. The preamble recitation of intended use, i.e. "for a product" is considered non-controlling as to the metes and bounds of the claim since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). In this case the label of Gomersall et al. (4500880) clearly has the capability of being adapted to be fixed to a product and whether it actually is, or might be, used in such a manner depends upon the performance of a future act of use rather than a structural distinction in the claims.

Claims 1-13 and 16-23 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fitch (5912653).

Fitch (5912653) teaches the invention as claimed including an apparatus having a label (as seen in figure 3) for a product comprising: a) a base (16) adapted to be fixed to said product, b) an LCD (12) electronic display panel affixed to said base and not associated with the inherent functioning of the product; and c) a programmable circuit (see figure 6) operatively connected to said display panel, said programmable circuit being programmed to output label information to said display panel for display thereon. Fitch (5912653) also teaches insofar as definite the

Art Unit: 3765

method for labeling a product of claims 9-15 including attaching an electronic display to the product, and programming the electronic display to display label information. Note the electronic display is a liquid crystal display with a backlight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitch (5912653).

The disclosure of Fitch (5912653)) teaches the invention substantially as claimed as indicated above in the rejection to claim 9. The disclosure of Fitch (5912653)does not set forth a pressure-activated switch or touch screen. The examiner takes Official notice that pressure activated switches and touch screens are typically used in LCDs. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to provide the LCD of Fitch (5912653) with a pressure activated switch and a touch screen in order to control the display functions of the LCD.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 703/308-0889. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703/305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manny/Worrell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

LDW